The LT1 (paraelectric) phase can be considered as a lock-in of the modulated structure. The presence of twinning domains, with the twin plane ( 010 ), is due to two energetically equivalent configurations. The symmetry of this phase is the symmetry of the rotational structure component $C_{1}$ and could correspond to a nonpolar soft-mode phase transition.

The incommensurate phase of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CdBr}_{4}$ can be compared with the corresponding modulated phase of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{SeO}_{4}$ (Yamada \& Ikeda, 1984) which is stable between 130 and 93 K . In the oxide compound, $\mathbf{q}$ is approximately twice as large as in the bromide. In addition, the $\mathrm{SeO}_{4}$ groups have been shown to rotate around $\mathbf{b}$ (perpendicular to $\mathbf{q}$ ) whereas the $\mathrm{CdBr}_{4}$ groups rotate around a (parallel to $\mathbf{q}$ ). In the 'lock-in' phase, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{SeO}_{4}$ is ferroelectric with orthorhombic symmetry while $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CdBr}_{4}$ has monoclinic symmetry with a center of inversion. Although the structures are completely isomorphous in the high-temperature phase (same space group and same site symmetries), the comparison indicates the independent evolution of the systems up to the 'lock-in' at low temperature.
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#### Abstract

The structural thermal dependence in $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{TeBr}_{6}$ resulting from the condensation of normal modes at the monoclinic-to-tetragonal and at the tetragonal-to-cubic phase transitions has been investigated over the temperature range 20 to 430 K . Both single-crystal and powder-profile-fitting structure refinement methods were used, with $\lambda(\mathrm{Mo} K \alpha)=0.71073 \AA$ and $\mu=$ $22.76 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1} . \quad M_{r}=685.22$. Phase III is monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n$, with two $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{TeBr}_{6}$ per unit cell and $a^{295} \mathrm{~K}=7.4908(10), \quad b^{295} \mathrm{~K}=7.5492(7), \quad c^{295 \mathrm{~K}}=$ $10.6984(11) \AA, \quad \beta^{295} \mathrm{~K}=90.307(6)^{\circ}, \quad V=$
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$604.98(23) \AA^{3}, \quad D_{m}=3.68, \quad D_{x}=3.761 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, $F(000)=600$; phase II is tetragonal, $P 4 / m n c$, with two $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{TeBr}_{6}$ per unit cell and $a^{410 \mathrm{~K}}=7.5755(10), c^{410 \mathrm{~K}}$ $=10.7702$ (22) $\AA, V=618.1$ (3) $\AA^{3}$; and phase (I) is cubic, $F m 3 m$, with $a^{435} \mathrm{~K}=10.7456$ (14) $\AA, \quad V=$ 1240.8 (7) $\AA^{3}$ and four $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{TeBr}_{6}$ per unit cell. In phase III at $295 \mathrm{~K} w R=0.0563$ for 1221 independent averaged $F_{m}{ }^{2}>4 \sigma\left(F_{m}{ }^{2}\right)$, in phase II at $418 \mathrm{~K} w R$ $=0.0312$ for 302 independent averaged $F_{m}{ }^{2}>\sigma\left(F_{m}{ }^{2}\right)$ and in phase I at $435 \mathrm{~K} w R=0.0310$ for 58 independent averaged $F_{m}{ }^{2}>\sigma\left(F_{m}{ }^{2}\right)$. The powder-profile-fitting refinements gave $0.046 \leq R_{l} \leq 0.086$ over the entire temperature range. The $\mathrm{TeBr}_{6}^{2-}$ octahedron is very regular, with $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}$ distances of 2.699 (6) $\AA$ at 295 K , 2.707 (5) $\AA$ at 418 K and 2.695 (3) $\AA$ at 453 K after © 1989 International Union of Crystallography
correction for thermal motion. The atomic coupling between strain tensors and order parameters is treated by group-theoretical normal-mode analysis. The $E_{g}$ and $A_{g}$ mode displacements, involving octahedral rotation and cation displacements, are followed in detail between 300 and 434 K . Both monoclinic strain tensors $e_{13}$ and $e_{22}-e_{11}$ become zero simultaneously at 400 K , the tetragonal strain tensor $e_{33}-e_{11}$ becomes zero at 434 K . The transition from phase III to phase II becomes detectable (both from changes in the atomic positions and from the increase in specific heat) slightly ahove 411 K , a temperature significantly higher than that at which the monoclinic strain tensors become zero.

## Introduction

The lattice of a high-symmetry crystal may become distorted by condensation of a normal mode as the temperature is decreased, resulting in lower symmetry below the ensuing structural phase transition. Many cubic crystals undergo a series of such phase transitions on cooling as one or more normal lattice modes successively soften, see Aleksandrov \& Misyul' (1981) and Ben Ghozlen \& Mlik (1983) for reviews: the latter identify all possible phase symmetries derived from space group Fm 3 m as prototype. Typical of such high-symmetry crystals are the perovskites $\left(\mathrm{ABO}_{3}\right.$ with $A$ usually an alkali or alkaline earth and $B$ a transition tetra- or pentavalent metal atom), the elpasolites $\left(A_{2} B B^{\prime} X_{6}\right.$, with $A$ an alkali, $B$ a tetravalent, $B^{\prime}$ a monovalent metal and $X$ a halogen atom), the cryolites ( $A_{3} B X_{6}$, with $A$ an alkali, $B$ a trivalent metal and $X$ a halogen atom) and the antifluorites ( $A_{2} B X_{6}$, with $A$ an alkali, $B$ a tetravalent and $X$ a halogen atom). Other typical families include the boracites $\left(A_{3} \mathrm{~B}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{13} X\right.$, with $A$ a divalent metal and $X$ a halogen atom), the tungsten bronzes ( $A A_{2}^{\prime} C B B_{4}^{\prime} \mathrm{O}_{15}$, with $A, A^{\prime}$ usually an alkali or alkaline earth, $B, B^{\prime}$ a tetra- or pentavalent transition metal and $C$ a Li atom, if present) and the langbeinites $\left[A_{2} B_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}\right.$, with $A$ an alkali and $B$ a divalent metal atom], each of which have different prototype space groups.

Within the antifluorite family, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{TeBr}_{6}$ is of particular interest. Three phase transitions have been reported, one between 200 and 240 K (Nakamura, Ito \& Kubo, 1962), the others at 405 and 434 K (Rössler \& Winter, 1977). The crystal structure of the monoclinic phase at room temperature was initially determined by Brown (1964). Abriel (1983a) reported a transition at 445 K from Fm 3 m to $\mathrm{P} 4 / \mathrm{mnc}$ followed by another at $410-334 \mathrm{~K}$ to $P 2{ }_{1} / n$, and later gave the corresponding structures at 463 and 423 K (Abriel, 1984). We identified two independent strain-coupled processes that give rise to a broad phase transition centered at about 400 K and found a normal lambdatype phase transition at 434 K in $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{TeBr}_{6}$ (Abrahams, Ihringer, Marsh \& Nassau, 1984): we also showed that
no additional phase transitions took place between 20 and 590 K .

A group-theoretical normal-mode analysis (Ihringer \& Abrahams, 1984) showed that both independent modes have $E_{g}$ symmetry, with one mode producing a displacement of the $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ions, the other a rotation of the $\mathrm{TeBr}_{6}^{2-}$ octahedra. The consequent lattice distortion follows a Landau critical power law, with the elastic strain $e_{13} \propto(400-T)^{1 / 2}$ while $e_{22}-e_{11}=0$ for $T>$ 359 K . Below $359 \mathrm{~K}, e_{22}-e_{11} \propto(359-T)$, but see below for higher resolution results. The coupling between order parameter and elastic strain also gives the cation displacement and anion rotations. A correlation between the change in atomic locations and order parameter as a function of temperature in the phasetransition sequence from $F m 3 m$ to $P 4 / m n c$ to $P 2_{1} / n$ has not previously been investigated and is reported hereunder.

## Experimental

## Single crystal

Two crystals of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{TeBr}_{6}$, the first with approximate dimensions $0.24 \times 0.12 \times 0.07 \mathrm{~mm},{ }^{*}$ the second with approximate dimensions $0.11 \times 0.30 \times 0.38 \mathrm{~mm}$, were selected from a batch grown by the method previously described (Abrahams et al., 1984). $D_{m}$ was reported previously by Brown (1964). Optical examination of these strong red crystals, which change color to deep red orange (ISCC-NBS, 1964) on extended ambient exposure, revealed no indication of $t$ win components. Each crystal was mounted, in separate experiments, within a microfurnace (Lissalde, Abrahams \& Bernstein, 1978) on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer. Control of measurements on both crystals was by PDP 11/24-8e minicomputer using Enraf-Nonius (1982) software. Mo $K \alpha$ radiation from a graphite monochromator, with $\omega-2 \theta$ scan range of $(0 \cdot 80+$ $0.35 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$, was used for the intensity measurements. Backgrounds were estimated by extending the scan $25 \%$ on either side of each peak, with maximum time of 240 s spent per reflection for a desired precision in terms of counting statistics of $2 \%$. All reflections within a reciprocal sphere of radius $(\sin \theta) / \lambda \leq 0.81 \AA^{-1}$ were measured with $-12 \leq h \leq 12,-12 \leq k \leq 12,-17 \leq$ $l \leq 17$ at $295 \mathrm{~K}, 0 \leq h \leq 12,-12 \leq k \leq 12,-17 \leq$ $l \leq 17$ at 418 K and $-17 \leq h \leq 17,-17 \leq k \leq 17$, $-17 \leq l \leq 17$ at 453 K on the first crystal. In addition, all reflections from the second crystal with $-13 \leq$ $h \leq 13,-13 \leq k \leq 13,-19 \leq l \leq 19$ and $(\sin \theta) / \lambda \leq$ $0.90 \AA^{-1}$ were measured at 295 K .

[^1]Lattice constants in the temperature range $20-490 \mathrm{~K}$ have been given by Abrahams et al. (1984). Absorption corrections, based on the attenuation coefficients in International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974), were applied in iterative attempts to improve the nominal crystal shape in order to minimize differences among symmetry-equivalent reflections. The resulting maximum, minimum transmission factors for the first crystal were $0.3518,0.1534$ at $295 \mathrm{~K}: 0.3575,0.1644$ at 418 K ; and $0.3892,0.1823$ at 453 K . Corresponding factors for the second and larger crystal at 295 K were $0.148,0.017$. Six standard reflections were measured at intervals of 6 h at each of the three temperatures: temperature stability (K) was $\pm \frac{1^{\circ}}{4}$, absolute scale $\pm \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\circ}$. Maximum, minimum intensity variation among the standards was $3 \cdot 5,1.5 \%$ at $295 \mathrm{~K}, 7 \cdot 2$. $1.7 \%$ at 418 K , and $4.8,2 \cdot 3 \%$ at 453 K for the first crystal; $8.9,6 \cdot 2 \%$ at 295 K for the second crystal. The unusually large variation in the standard intensities for both crystals and particularly the second was far from random: distinct steps between nearly invariant longterm plateaus were strongly suggestive of sudden changes in ferroelastic domain orientation. The total number of reflections measured was 10531 at 295 K . 5788 at 418 K and 1569 at 453 K for the first crystal and 14957 at 295 K for the second crystal.

Standard deviations ( $\sigma F_{m}$ ) of the symmetry-independent averaged structure factors were derived by a variation of Abrahams, Bernstein \& Keve`s (1971) method in which $\sigma^{2}\left(F_{m}{ }^{2}\right)$ is taken as the larger of $V_{1}$ or $V_{2}$, where $V_{1}$ is the internal variance calculated from differences among the members of a form and $V_{2}$ is the sum of the variance due to counting statistics and to replication variances in the standards. Reflections with $F_{m}{ }^{2} \leq 4 \sigma\left(F_{m}{ }^{2}\right)$ were omitted from further analysis of the data at 295 K , resulting in $1221\left\langle F_{m}{ }^{2}\right\rangle$ from the first crystal and 901 from the second. A threshold of $1 \sigma\left(F_{m}{ }^{2}\right)$ used at the higher temperatures resulted in 302 unique reflections at 418 K , and 58 at 453 K , following the averaging of symmetry-equivalent values. $R_{\text {imt }}$ $=0.0424$ and 0.0466 for the first and second crystals at 295 K with $R_{\text {int }}=0.0441$ at 413 K and 0.0408 at 453 K for the first crystal. A normal probability $\delta \mathrm{m}$ plot (Abrahams \& Keve, 1971) gave a somewhat nonlinear distribution for each crystal at 295 K , with respective slopes of 0.79 and 1.11 . Corresponding plots of the 413 and $453 \mathrm{~K} \delta m$ distribution were also nonlinear to some extent with slopes of 0.89 and 0.68 respectively.*

[^2]Brown's (1964) structure at room temperature was confirmed by solving the Patterson function and subsequently using full-matrix least-squares refinement and Fourier series, based on the $F_{m}{ }^{2}$ values from the first crystal. It should be noted that Brown's (1964) atomic coordinates are given in a nonstandard setting. with an acute monoclinic angle and $c>a$ ( $c f$. Kennard, Speakman \& Donnay, 1967); transformation to an obtuse angle $\left[\beta=90.307(6)^{\circ}\right.$ at 295 K , see Abrahams et al. (1984)|. results in a change of sign for his transformed $z$ coordinates. The simplest model in space group $P 2_{1} / n$ at 295 K , in which the atomic coordinates, individual isotropic thermal parameters and scale factor are the only (16) variables, led to $R=0 \cdot 180$. $w R=0.225$ and $S=6.905$ for the first crystal. All single-crystal least-squares refinements reported herein are based on $F_{m}{ }^{2}$ magnitudes, agreement indicators on $F_{m}$. magnitudes. The corresponding model with all anisotropic thermal parameters varied (43 total variables) gave $R=0.083, \mathfrak{w} R=0.078$ and $S=2.28$. A significant cause of the poor agreement was anharmonic thermal atomic motion that was particularly notable in the electron density through the $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}(2)-$ $\operatorname{Br}(3)$ plane. A fit to the anharmonic motion was hence made by including higher rank tensors. in a GramCharlier expansion of the probability density function (Zucker \& Schulz, 1982; Johnson, 1969).

The least-squares program of Busing. Martin \& Levy (1973) was modified to include higher cumulants and an isotropic extinction correction based upon Becker \& Coppen's (1974a,b) formalism. The best model on the basis of the data from each crystal at 295 K required a fourth-order tensor for the Te atom (all third-order coefficients are necessarily zero at this atomic location; a sixth-order tensor gave further improvement with the first crystal data) and both third- and fourth-order tensors for the Br and K atoms. The final agreement indicators were $R=0.0685, w R=0.0563$ and $S$ $=2.19$ for the first (with 187 variables) and $R$ $=0.1011, w R=0.0822$ and $S=1.77$ for the second crystal (with 160 variables). The resulting improvement in agreement indicators is highly significant at the $99.5 \%$ confidence level for both crystals on including higher cumulants in the refinement model. The relatively poor level of agreement becomes worse as the threshold for including $F_{m}{ }^{2}$ values is decreased, due probably to the presence of twin domains caused by handling the crystal, as indicated by the stepwise variation in standard intensities. Ferroelastic domains, in which atoms with coordinates $x y z$ are transformed to equivalent atoms with coordinates $1 \bar{x} z$ as the $a$ and $b$ axes exchange identity, have been observed optically in $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{TeBr}_{6}$ crystals, see Abrahams et al. (1984). The resulting domain walls may be moved under low applied stress. In addition, twin planes are readily formed as the monoclinic angle $\left.\beta \mid=90.307(6)^{\circ}\right]$ is replaced by $180-\beta$. Scattering from these oriented
domains necessarily modifies the values of the measured structure factors.

The final atomic coordinates for both crystals at 295 K are given in Table $1(a)$, transformed to correspond to $c>a$ (as in the $A b s t r a c t$ ) for easier comparison with the structures at higher temperatures. Magnitudes of the anisotropic and anharmonic thermal coefficients have been deposited.* The mosaic radii corresponding to the isotropic extinction parameters are given in Table 1.

The tetragonal structure at 418 K was also solved from the Patterson function and refined by the method of least squares in space group $P 4 / m n c$. The simplest model with 8 variables, for isotropic temperature factors and neglecting extinction, gave $R=0.199$, $w R=0.139, S=2.85$ for $302 F_{m}{ }^{2} \geq 1 \sigma\left(F_{m}{ }^{2}\right)$. Inclusion of anisotropic thermal parameters in addition to the third- and fourth-rank tensors for the K and Br atoms and fourth-rank tensors only for Te to account for the anharmonic thermal motion, and variation of an isotropic extinction coefficient, gave the atomic coordinates in Table $1(b)$ for a total of 47 variables. The final value of $R=0.0422, w R=0.0312, S=0.628$. The low minimum observable value of $l \sigma\left(F_{m}{ }^{2}\right)$ was necessary in view of the number of parameters varied.

The structure of the cubic Fm $3 m$ phase at 453 K has a single variable position coordinate for Br which is close to $\frac{1}{4}$ in antifluorites. Refinement of an isotropic thermal vibration model, neglecting extinction, gave $R=0.110, w R=0.113, S=2.160$ for a total of five variables with $58{F_{m}{ }^{2} \geq 1 \sigma F_{m}{ }^{2} \text {. Inclusion of an isotropic }}^{2}$ extinction correction and anisotropic thermal parameters together with third- and fourth-order tensor coefficients for Br and K and fourth-order coefficients for Te resulted in the coordinates listed in Table 1(c) with $R=0.0373, w R=0.0310, S=0.663$ for the 18 parameters varied.

The final least-squares refinements had maximum values of $\Delta / \sigma=0.05$ at $295 \mathrm{~K}, 0.03$ at 418 K and 0.02 at 453 K . Maximum, minimum features in the final difference electron density map were $+2.4,-2.6$ at $295 \mathrm{~K},+1.3,-0.8$ at 418 K and $+0.6,-0.1 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ at 453 K .

## Powder

Crystals from the same growth batch as that used in the single-crystal studies were ground and sieved to give a particle size less than $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. A layer of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{TeBr}_{6}$ powder 0.1 mm thick was mounted between films of 0.22 mm Kapton in the specimen holder described previously (Ihringer, 1982). The powder diffraction profile was measured at 20 and 300 K in the lowtemperature Guinier diffractometer (Ihringer, 1982). A continuous photographic record was also made, using the moving-film adaptation of this instrument with

[^3]Table 1. Atomic coordinates and root-mean-square radial amplitudes of thermal displacement ( $\AA$ ) of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{TeBr}_{6}$

| (a) At $295 \mathrm{~K}(P 2, / n)^{*}$ |  | $y$ | $z$ | $u_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Te | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \cdot 19$ (10) |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.20 (7) |
| $\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | 0.0476 (10) | 0.0020 (6) | $0 \cdot 2484$ (4) | 0.28 (12) |
|  | 0.0544 (13) | 0.0020 (15) | 0.2469 (6) | 0.26 (9) |
| $\mathrm{Br}(2)$ | 0.2842 (8) | -0.2111 (8) | -0.0234 (6) | 0.28 (7) |
|  | 0.2872 (11) | -0.2145 (18) | -0.0318 (9) | 0.24 (10) |
| $\mathrm{Br}(3)$ | 0.2087 (8) | $0 \cdot 2872$ (8) | -0.0216 (6) | 0.28 (5) |
|  | $0 \cdot 2099$ (15) | 0.2855 (16) | -0.0243 (9) | 0.25 (8) |
| K | -0.0099 (25) | 0.4607 (12) | 0.2553 (12) | 0.33 (14) |
|  | -0.0012 (31) | 0.4435 (78) | $0 \cdot 2530$ (26) | 0.33 (14) |
| (b) At $418 \mathrm{~K}(P 4 / m n c)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Te | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.19 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | 0 | 0 | $0 \cdot 2474$ (2) | 0.33 (12) |
| $\operatorname{Br}(2)$ | $0 \cdot 2691$ (5) | -0.2262 (4) | 0 | 0.32 (10) |
| K | 0 | 0 | 0.25 | $0 \cdot 34$ (4) |
| (c) At $453 \mathrm{~K}(\mathrm{Fm} 3 \mathrm{~m})$ |  |  |  |  |
| Te | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.24 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | 0.2468 (3) | 0 | 0 | 0.34 (11) |
| K | 0.25 | $0 \cdot 25$ | 0.25 | 0.33 (1) |

* First crystal upper, second crystal lower entry, with coordinates at 295 K transformed to the cell with $a=7.4908$ (10), $b=7.5492$ (7), $c=10.6984$ (11) $\AA$ and $\beta=90.307$ (6) $\AA$, see Abrahams et al. (1984). The thermal parameter is the r.m.s. radial amplitude. Isotropic extinction mosaic radii are $9.9(5), 5.4$ (4) and $1.0(5) \mu \mathrm{m}$ for the first crystal at 295,418 and 453 K , respectively and 12.3 (1.9) $\mu \mathrm{m}$ for the second crystal at 295 K .
$10 \leq 2 \theta \leq 94^{\circ}$, over the temperature range 20 to 295 K . A high-temperature Guinier diffractometer (Ihringer \& Appel, 1984) gave full-profile powder patterns at $330,354,375,396,411$ and 420 K ; in addition, a photographic record was again made continuously, as in the low-temperature regime, between 280 and 475 K . Each $180 \times 60 \mathrm{~mm}$ film was measured, using a slit size of $1.0 \times 0.05 \mathrm{~mm}$, in a Joyce-Loebl microdensitometer. Neglecting the edge area, about $150 \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ of film was evaluated, resulting in about 50 profiles per film with 3000 intensity values per profile. Optical density corrections were unnecessary since no film was overexposed. Relative temperature stability was estimated as $\pm 0.1 \mathrm{~K}$ below room temperature and $\pm 2 \mathrm{~K}$ above. The final temperature scale was obtained by matching lattice constants with the single-crystal data and is estimated as accurate to $\pm 2 \mathrm{~K}$.

Background intensities were determined at 15 to 40 points, calculated either by refining the Gaussian profiles for several reflections (Ihringer \& Oswald, 1984) or by inspection in an interactive-graphics mode. In the former, all 50 data sets on a film are determined automatically and checked by linear interpolation between background values for statistical distribution about zero. In the latter, a graphic solution of the Rietveld program (Young, Mackie \& von Dreele, 1977) immediately detects any irregularities in background values. Each intensity $Y_{i}$ on the profiles is given a weight $w_{i}=\left[\sigma^{2} Y_{i}+\sigma^{2} B_{i}\right]^{-1}$, with $\sigma^{2} B_{i}$ for the background $B_{i}$ taken as constant. The final agreement for all refinements based on film data was rather poor, with $0.14<R_{I}<0.17$, and this was attributed both to

Table 2. Atomic coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and isotropic thermal parameters ( $\AA$ ) by Rietveld analysis refinement of powder diffraction profiles

|  |  | 20 K | 300 K | 330 K | 354 K | 375 K | 396 K | 411 K | 420 K |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Te | $u^{*}$ | $0 \cdot 10$ (1) | $0 \cdot 15$ (1) | $0 \cdot 16$ (1) | 0.15 (1) | 0.18 (1) | 0.13 (1) | 0.11 (1) | $0 \cdot 27$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{Br}(1)$ | $x$ | 725 (6) | 578 (6) | 546 (7) | 524 (8) | 457 (9) | 335 (15) | 245 (25) | 0 |
|  | $y$ | 41 (7) | 50 (7) | 53 (9) | 55 (10) | 33 (14) | -30 (23) | -216(20) | 0 |
|  | $z$ | 2507 (5) | 2493 (5) | 2484 (6) | 2493 (5) | 2476 (5) | 2459 (5) | 2458 (7) | 2454 (17) |
|  | $u$ | 0.12 (1) | 0.22 (1) | 0.27 (1) | 0.27 (1) | $0 \cdot 29$ (1) | $0 \cdot 29$ (1) | $0 \cdot 29$ (1) | $0 \cdot 19$ (1) |
| $\mathrm{Br}(2)$ | $x$ | 2956 (7) | 2905 (7) | 2837 (8) | 2841 (9) | 2789 (13) | 2730 (18) | 2709 (24) | 2583 (20) |
|  | $y$ | -2014 (8) | -2084 (9) | -2098 (8) | -2098 (9) | -2160 (13) | -2263 (16) | -2300 (17) | -2336(19) |
|  | $z$ | -390(5) | -294 (5) | -324 (6) | -276 (7) | -258 (8) | -105 (8) | -72(12) | 0 |
|  | u | 0.13 (1) | 0.22 (1) | 0.24 (4) | 0.23 (4) | 0.25 (4) | 0.22 (4) | 0.23 (5) | 0.42 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Br}(3)$ | $x$ | 1991 (7) | 2074 (7) | 2035 (8) | 2061 (9) | 2082 (13) | 2024 (18) | 2021 (23) | 2336 |
|  | ${ }^{\prime}$ | 2912 (8) | 2915 (9) | 2885 (8) | 2877 (9) | 2886 (13) | 2984 (20) | 2914 (23) | 2583 |
|  | $z$ | -331 (15) | -244 (5) | -255 (5) | -230 (7) | -198(8) | -239 (8) | -215 (11) | 0 |
|  | $u$ | 0.14 (1) | 0.23 (1) | 0.24 (4) | 0.23 (4) | $0 \cdot 25$ (4) | 0.22 (4) | 0.23 (5) | 0.42 |
| K | $x$ | -240 (14) | -102 (15) | -133(18) | -106(21) | -137(26) | -139(44) | -249 (64) | 0 |
|  | $y$ | 4345 (11) | 4500 (13) | 4560 (15) | 4617 (18) | 4670 (23) | 4790 (39) | 4895 (31) | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
|  | $z$ | 2485 (13) | 2526 (13) | - ${ }^{\frac{1}{3}}$ | - $3^{\frac{1}{4}}$ | 0.31 ${ }^{\frac{1}{4}}$ | $0.32^{\frac{1}{4}}$ | $0.2{ }^{\frac{1}{3}}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ |
|  | $u$ | 0.06 (2) | 0.22 (1) | $0 \cdot 30$ (1) | $0 \cdot 30$ (1) | 0.31 (1) | 0.32 (1) | 0.29 (1) | 0.45 (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & R_{1} \\ & R_{P} \\ & R_{m p} \end{aligned}$ |  | 0.075 | 0.086 | 0.056 | 0.052 | 0.055 | 0.046 | 0.048 | 0.071 |
|  |  | $0.17 \dagger$ | $0.16{ }^{+}$ | 0.065 | 0.063 | 0.067 | 0.067 | 0.066 | $0.14 \dagger$ |
|  |  | $0 \cdot 19 \dagger$ | $0.17 \dagger$ | 0.085 | 0.083 | 0.090 | 0.088 | 0.088 | $0 \cdot 19 \dagger$ |

[^4]sample preparation and to systematic errors in the gear train of the densitometer. The resulting atomic coordinates, however, clearly indicated that two separate octahedral tilts about the $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}(2)$ and $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}(3)$ axes began at $\sim 420$ and $\sim 390 \mathrm{~K}$ respectively, both of which increased as the temperature decreased. Below 400 K , the film data showed that $\mathrm{K}^{+}$becomes displaced from the $0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}$ position along the $b$ direction.

A more definitive determination both of the lattice constants and of the atomic coordinates between 20 and 420 K was derived from the profile refinement of the diffractometer measurements. Full-profile-fitting structure refinement was first undertaken with the 300 K pattern, using the coordinates in Table $1(a)$ as starting model. The final values obtained by leastsquares refinement in which $\sum_{i}\left[w_{i}\left(Y_{m(i)}-Y_{c(i)}\right)^{2}\right]$ was minimized ( $Y_{m(i)}$ is the $i$ th value of the experimental intensity, $Y_{c(i)}$ the corresponding calculated intensity), were thereupon taken as the starting model for fitting the next adjacent temperature profile. Refinements were made without constraints on the atomic coordinates and with anisotropic temperature factors for all atoms in the monoclinic phase. The imposition of the three constraints for the structure at $420 \mathrm{~K}: x[\operatorname{Br}(2)]=$ $y[\operatorname{Br}(3)], y[\operatorname{Br}(2)]=-x[\operatorname{Br}(3)]$ and $z(\mathrm{~K})=\frac{1}{4}$ leads to a smaller $R$ value. The resulting coordinate values are given in Table 2. It may be noted that, although the lattice constants indicate a transition to tetragonal symmetry above 400 K , deviations of the $\operatorname{Br}(2)$ and $\operatorname{Br}(3) z$ coordinates from zero persist at least to 411 K .

## Geometry of $\mathrm{TeBr}_{6}^{2-}$ anion

The atomic coordinates at 295 K given in Tables $1(a)$ and 2 correspond to a very regular $\mathrm{TeBr}_{6}^{2 \cdots}$ ion, with
$\mathrm{Te}-\operatorname{Br}(1)=2.680(4), \quad \mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}(2)=2.670(6), \quad \mathrm{Te}-$ $\mathrm{Br}(3)=2.682(6) \AA$ and all $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}$ angles within $0.6(2)^{\circ}$ of $90^{\circ}$ for an average $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}$ distance of $2.677(6) \AA$ at 295 K for the first crystal; $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}(1)$ $=2.671(7), \quad \mathrm{Te}-\operatorname{Br}(2)=2.713(10), \quad \mathrm{Te}-\operatorname{Br}(3)=$ 2.680 (12) $\AA$ and all $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}$ angles within 0.3 (3) ${ }^{\circ}$ of $90^{\circ}$, for an average $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}=2.688$ (22) $\AA$ distance for the second crystal at 295 K ; and $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}(1)=$ 2.702 (5), $\quad \mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}(2)=2.704$ (5), $\quad \mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}(3)=$ 2.706 (5) $\AA$ and $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}$ angles within $0.3(3)^{\circ}$ of $90^{\circ}$, for an average $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}=2.704$ (2) $\AA$ for the powder determination at 300 K . Corresponding distances at 418 K , from Table $1(b)$, are $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}(1)$ $=2.663$ (4) and $\operatorname{Te}-\operatorname{Br}(2)=2.665$ (3) $\AA$ for an average of 2.664 (3) $\AA$. At 453 K the value of $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}$ is 2.652 (3) $\AA$, as obtained from Table 1(c). Correction for thermal libration gives an average $2 \cdot 699$ (6) for the first and 2.705 (17) $\AA$ for the second crystal at 295 K . 2.707 (5) $\AA$ at 418 K and 2.695 (3) $\AA$ at 453 K .

Brown (1964) reported an average $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}=$ 2.693 (6) $\AA$ distance at room temperature and Abriel (1984) found $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}=2.681$ (8) $\AA$ at 423 K and 2.676 (2) $\AA$ at 463 K in $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{TeBr}_{6}$. A simple correction for libration in these two reports gave 2.706 (3) $\AA$ at room temperature, $2.720(5) \AA$ at 423 K and 2.727 (3) $\AA$ at 463 K in $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{TeBr}_{6}$. Corresponding values in $\mathrm{Rb}_{2} \mathrm{TeBr}_{6}$ are reported by Abriel \& Ihringer (1984) as 2.699 (2) $\AA$ in the cubic phase at 160 K and 2.696 (6) $\AA$ in the tetragonal phase at 12.5 K . Other recent $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}$ distances reported are 2.753 (2) $\AA$ in $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{TeBr}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$ by Pohl \& Saak (1985), 2.699 (9) $\AA$ in sym-triphenylcyclopropenylium hexabromotellurate by Borgias, Scarrow, Seidler \& Weiner (1985) and $2.699(13) \AA$ in $\left|\mathrm{Na}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right|_{2} \mathrm{TeBr}_{6}$ by Abriel (1983b).

Neglecting the long $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}$ distance reported in the $\mathrm{TeBr}_{4}^{2-}$ ion and the earlier values reported for $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{TeBr}_{6}$, the average of the remaining eight values is 2.700 (4) $\AA$ which may be accepted as representative of this distance.

## Comparison of multiple $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{TeBr}_{6}$ structural determinations

Direct comparison of the three sets of atomic coordinates determined for $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{TeBr}_{6}$ at room temperature and the two sets determined both at about 420 and at 455 K may be made by considering determinations in pairs using half-normal probability plots (Abrahams \& Keve, 1971). The method depends on plotting the experimental order statistic $\delta p_{i}=\left|p(1)_{i}-p(2)_{i}\right| /\left[\sigma^{2} p(1)_{i}+\right.$ $\sigma^{2} p(2)_{i} i^{1 / 2}$, where $p(1)_{i}, p(2)_{i}$ are the $i$ th position coordinates for the first and second experiment and $\sigma^{2} p(1)_{i}, \quad \sigma^{2} p(2)_{i}$ are their corresponding variances, against the expected ranked moduli of the normal deviates (as given, for example, in International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, 1974).

The experimental order statistics based upon the coordinates derived from the first and second crystals at 295 K as given in Table 1( $a$ ), and between each of these sets and the coordinates determined at 300 K as given in Table 2, were thereupon plotted against the 12 corresponding ranked moduli of the normal deviates. The only resulting array that was linear, indicating a normal distribution in the order statistics, was for the first crystal taken in conjuction with the powder results. The two other plots had either one (for the second crystal taken with the powder results), or two (for the two single crystals taken together) major inflections in the $\delta p$ array. It may hence be inferred that the results for the second crystal, the measurement set that is common to both latter arrays, are subject to systematic error. The slope for the linear array is large at about $4 \cdot 5$, and may indicate that the joint standard deviation in atomic coordinates is underestimated by the same factor.

It is noteworthy that, in spite of the statistical evidence for systematic error from different sources being present in each set of measurements, the dimensions of the $\mathrm{TeBr}_{6}^{2-}$ ion remain rather constant for all three sets, see above.

Comparison of the atomic coordinates at 418 K as given in Table $1(b)$ with those reported at 423 K by Abriel (1984) rests upon only three values of $\delta p_{i}$; these are substantially nonlinear, due primarily to the difference in $x$ and $y$ coordinates for $\operatorname{Br}(2)$ of nearly 0.10 and $0.07 \AA$ respectively. No conclusions may be drawn for the single atomic coordinate determined at 453 K as given in Table $1(c)$ and that at 463 K as reported by Abriel (1984). However, the differences in $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}$ distance between the pairs of determinations is barely significant, in terms of the stated e.s.d.'s.

## Lattice constant and elastic strain critical temperature dependence

The lattice constants of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{TeBr}_{6}$ in monoclinic phase III at 295 K are $a=7.4908$ (10), $b=7.5492$ (7), $c=10.6984$ (11) $\AA, \beta=90.307(6)^{\circ}$ (Abrahams et al., 1984). The $a$-axis thermal expansion in phase III is described by a polynomial to third order, the $b$-axis expansion is linear in temperature and the $c$ axis is also described by a polynomial to third order. The monoclinic angle follows a Landau critical power law with $e_{13} \propto(400-T)^{1 / 2}$, where the strain tensor $e_{13}=\Delta \beta / 2$, see Ihringer \& Abrahams (1984). A result of the present series of Rietveld analyses (see Table 2) is the determination of lattice constants with improved precision in the critical temperature interval between 300 and 434 K , as presented in Figs. $1(a)$ and $1(b)$.

The new results completely confirm those given by Abrahams et al. (1984) except for that of the $b$-axis lattice-constant thermal dependence in the important temperature regime between $c / \sqrt{ } 2 \simeq b$ at 275 K and the transition to tetragonal phase II at 400 K . The previous results found $b=7.551 \AA$ at 298 K and $7.534 \AA$ at 359 K , the temperature at which $b$ appeared to become indistinguishable from $a$. The assumed


Fig. 1. (a) Variation of monoclinic $a, b$ and $c / \sqrt{ } 2$ lattice constants between 298 and 434 K . (b) Variation of monoclinic angle $\beta$ in same temperature range. One e.s.d. is about $0.001 \AA$ in (a) and $0.01^{\circ}$ in (b).
identity in magnitude of the $b$ and $a$ axes at 359 K indicated that the strain-tensor component $e_{22}-e_{11}$ becomes zero, leading to the possibility that the $E_{g}$ mode associated with the octahedral order parameter $Q^{\text {octa }}$ condenses at this temperature. The present higher resolution results in $b=7.567$ (1) $\AA$ at 298 K and 7.574 (1) $\AA$ at 359 K . Differences between these and the previous measurements are small and without significance. However, examination of Fig. 1(a) shows that $b-a=0.030$ (2) $\AA$ at 359 K and does not become zero until 400 K , the temperature at which $\beta=90^{\circ}$ (see Fig. 1b) and hence $e_{13}=0$. It is thus apparent that the order parameters for the $E_{g}$ mode displacements, with its strong strain coupling involving rotation of the $\mathrm{TeBr}_{6}^{2-}$ octahedra about [010] and displacement of the $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ions along [010], reach zero simultaneously at 400 K .

It is also apparent from Fig. 1(a) that, in tetragonal phase II between 400 and 420 K , the magnitude of $a$ increases with temperature to coincide smoothly with the decreasing magnitude of $c / \sqrt{ } 2$ at the transition to the cubic phase, in accordance with the measured heat capacity (Abrahams et al., 1984).


Fig. 2. (a) Thermal dependence of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ion $x$ and $y$ coordinates: the $z$ coordinate remains close to $\frac{1}{4} \cdot(b),(c),(d)$ Thermal dependence of $\operatorname{Br}(2)$ and $\operatorname{Br}(3)$ atomic $x, y$ and $z$ coordinates. $\operatorname{Br}(1)$ remains close to $0.04,0.01, \frac{1}{4}$. Coordinates with asterisks are derived from a rigid $\mathrm{TeBr}_{6}^{2-}$ octahedron. E.s.d.'s are given in Table 2.

## Atomic coupling between strain tensor and order parameter in $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{T e B r}_{6}$

The temperature dependence of the atomic coordinates listed in Table 2 is shown graphically in Fig. 2, together with the rotational thermal dependence of $\operatorname{Br}(2)$ about Te on the assumption of a rigid $\mathrm{TeBr}_{6}^{2-}$ octahedron. This dependence may be interpreted entirely within the group-theoretical normal-mode analysis of Ihringer \& Abrahams (1984). In the phase transition from Fm 3 m to $P 4 / m n c$ at 434 K , the tetragonal space group allows opposed octahedral rotations about the fourfold axis [i.e. $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}(1)$ ], corresponding to $A_{2 g}$ symmetry for the soft mode at the X point in the Brillouin zone of the $F$-centered cubic lattice. The octahedral rotation is the order parameter, which is related to the increasing difference in $c-a$ with decreasing temperature (see Fig. $1 a$ ) by means of the quadratic expression: $e_{33}-e_{11}=k_{A} Q_{A}^{2}$ ( $A$ denotes anion). The effect on the anion rotation is indicated in Fig. 3(a).
The expansion in $e_{33}-e_{11}$ between 434 and 411 K is almost linear and obeys the classical Landau relationship $Q_{A} \propto\left(T_{C}-T\right)^{1 / 2}$. The octahedral rotation given by $A_{2 g}$ symmetry in $F m 3 m$ also determines the symmetry


Fig. 3. (a) Octahedral rotation in phase transition from $\mathrm{Fm} 3 m$ to $P 4 / m n c$. In phase transition from $P 4 / m n c$ to $P 2_{1} / n$ : (b) Octahedral rotation about $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}(2)$ axis caused by $E_{g}$ mode. (c) Cation displacement caused by $E_{g}$ mode. (d) Octahedral rotation about $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}(3)$ axis caused by $E_{g}$ mode. (e) Octahedral rotation about monoclinic $\lceil 001]$, the combination of ( $b$ ) and ( $d$ ).
in the lower temperature phase, i.e. $4 / \mathrm{mmm}$ in space group $P 4 / m n c$. The rotation in the tetragonal phase is hence of $A_{1 g}$ type. Between 420 and 411 K , a gradual transition from $P 4 / m n c$ to $P 2_{1} / n$ takes place by means of an octahedral tilt about the $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}(2)$ axis, thereby preserving the tetragonal $E_{g}$ symmetry, as detected in the rate at which the $\operatorname{Br}(3) z$ coordinate changes with temperature, see Fig. 2(d). The associated order parameter may be designated $Q_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}(2)}$. The specific heat is also consistent with this interpretation, see Abrahams et al. (1984). The small increase in $\operatorname{Br}(2) z$ in the same temperature range shows that some $E_{g}$-mode symmetry rotation about the $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}(3)$ axis, with order parameter $Q_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}(3)}$, may also be involved, see Fig. 3(b).

Translation by the $E_{g}$ mode is inconsistent with the $A_{1 \varepsilon}$ octahedral rotation symmetry about the fourfold axis, hence such rotation becomes increasingly inhibited by $E_{g}$ tilting. The $Q_{A}$ rotation, which increases $1.64^{\circ}$ between $\sim 420$ and 411 K , changes only $0.68^{\circ}$ between 411 and 396 K . This rotation causes no further increase in $c-a$ through the coupling $e_{33}-e_{11}=k_{A} Q_{A}^{2}$; the corresponding coupling to the octahedral order parameter $Q_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}(2)}$ is opposite in sign, hence the coupling of $Q_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}(2)}$ with octahedral rotation is given approximately by $e_{33}-e_{11}=-k_{A}\left[Q_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}(2)}\right]^{2}$.

The $E_{g}$ symmetry displacement of the $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ions, primarily along the $y$ direction (see Fig. 2a), commences between 411 and 400 K on cooling; the corresponding order parameter may be designated $Q_{i}^{K}$ (see Fig. 3c). The change in monoclinic angle between 411 and 400 K may be described by the linear relationship between strain and order parameter allowed by this symmetry, i.e. $e_{13}=k_{E}^{K} Q_{E}^{K}$. This result is confirmed by a least-squares refinement of the coupling coefficients $k_{E}^{\mathrm{K}}, k_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}(2)}$ and $k_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}(3)}$ corresponding to the $E_{g}$ symmetry order parameters $Q_{E}^{\mathrm{K}}, Q_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}(2)}$ and $Q_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}(3)}$, in terms of the change in monoclinic angle as given by $e_{13}$. Taking $\quad e_{13}=k_{E}^{\mathrm{K}} Q_{E}^{\mathrm{K}}+k_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}(2)} Q_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}(2)}+k_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}(3)} Q_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}(3)}$, the refinement yields $k_{E}^{\mathrm{K}}=0.52$ (6), $k_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}(2)}=0.41$ (7) and $k_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}(3)}=-0.44$ (12), with tilt angles given in radians. The result $k_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}(2)} \simeq-k_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}(3)}$ shows that the monoclinic angle is close to being independent of the octahedral rotations provided the rotations about the $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}(2)$ and $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}(3)$ axes are also of comparable magnitude.

It is noteworthy that the phase transition onset from $P 4 / m n c$ to $P 2_{1} / n$ is most sensitively detected by the change in atomic positions and by the specific heat anomaly, not by the change in lattice constants. Deformation of the tetragonal lattice is not very sensitive to the octahedral tilt $Q_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}(2)}$, although this causes the $\operatorname{Br}(3) z$ coordinate to increase sharply from zero between $\sim 420$ and 411 K , see Fig. $2(d)$ and also Table 1(b).

On cooling, the increasing displacement of the $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ ions from their tetrahedral interstices located at $0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}$ results in contact between nearest $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and Br ions in the displacement direction. The octahedron is thereby
tilted, for example in the $z$-axis direction by rotation about the $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{Br}(3)$ axis, as illustrated in Fig. 3(d).

Between 396 and 375 K , the $E_{g}$ symmetry octahedral tilt about the $\mathrm{Te}-\operatorname{Br}(3)$ axis increases by coupling with the order parameter $Q_{E}^{\operatorname{Br}(3)}$ very nearly to the angle caused by the $Q_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}(2)}$ rotation about the $\mathrm{Te}-\operatorname{Br}(2)$ axis. Both octahedral tilts may be described by a single rotation about [100] mono , see Fig. 3(e). This rotation also has $E_{g}$ symmetry, with order parameter $Q_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}}$ which replaces both $Q_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}(2)}$ and $Q_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}(3)}$ in the temperature range over which $Q_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}(2)}$ and $Q_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}(3)}$ are equal. Indeed, at the lower boundary of this range, i.e. 375 K , the difference between the monoclinic $a$ and $b$ lattice constants becomes unambiguously observable, see Fig. 1 (a).

Below 375 K , the cation displacement $Q_{E}^{\text {cat }}$ and tilt $Q_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}}$ increase at about the same relative rate on cooling with:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[Q_{E}^{\mathrm{cat}}(20 \mathrm{~K})-Q_{E}^{\mathrm{cat}}(375 \mathrm{~K})\right] / Q_{E}^{\mathrm{cat}}(375 \mathrm{~K})=} \\
& \quad\left[Q_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}}(20 \mathrm{~K})-Q_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}}(375 \mathrm{~K})\right] / Q_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}}(375 \mathrm{~K})
\end{aligned}
$$

It is apparent that these two displacements are linked. Since the cationic displacement gives rise only to the change in monoclinic angle in the present interpretation, it may be assumed that the difference between the $a$ and $b$ lattice constants, which increases almost linearly from 300 to 20 K , is due to the quadratic relationship with order parameter $Q_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}}$ : i.e. $e_{22}-e_{11}$ $=k_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}}\left(Q_{E}^{\mathrm{Br}}\right)^{2}$.
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#### Abstract

The thermal expansivity in zinc oxide, space group $P 6_{3} m c$, has been remeasured dilatometrically in the range $298-900 \mathrm{~K}$ and normalized to the lattice constants measured at 298 K in a Bond lattice-constant diffractometer. The lattice constants have also been determined at 20 K in a four-circle diffractometer. The linear thermal-expansion coefficients are $\alpha_{1}^{T}=$ $6.511 \times 10^{-6}\left[1+0.693(20) \times 10^{-3} \Delta T-0.230(6) \times\right.$ $\left.10^{-6}(\Delta T)^{2}\right] \mathrm{K}^{-1} \quad$ and $\alpha_{3}^{T}=3.017 \times 10^{-6}[1+2.56$ (7) $\left.\times 10^{-3} \Delta T-3.37(9) \times 10^{-6}(\Delta T)^{2}\right] \mathrm{K}^{-1}$, where $\Delta T=$ $T-298 \mathrm{~K}$. The structure has been determined at 20 , 300,600 and 900 K by neutron diffraction, based respectively upon $584,301,364$ and 364 symmetry independent averaged $F_{m}^{2}$. Evidence for thermal atomic vibration anharmonicity was present at 600 and 900 K , but was not detectable at 20 and 300 K . Appreciable extinction was found at all temperatures. The ionic displacement thermal-dependence coefficient is $0.263(8) \times 10^{-4} \AA \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ between 20 and 900 K . The pyroelectric coefficients derived from a point-charge model, with point charge of 0.21 (5) $e$ and nuclear positions corresponding to final $R=0.0225,0.0231$, 0.0177 and 0.0182 at $20,300,600$ and 900 K respectively, are $+1(2),-11(4)$ and $-11(5) \times$

^[ * Part of this work was performed at the Brookhaven National Laboratory under contract DE-AC02-76CH00016 with the US Department of Energy and supported by its Division of Chemical Sciences, Office of Basic Energy Sciences. The work was also supported in part by the Swedish Natural Science Council. $\dagger$ Permanent address: Inorganic Chemistry 2, Chemical Center, University of Lund, POB 124, S-22100 Lund, Sweden. ]


$10^{-6} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ at 160,450 and 750 K respectively compared with experimental values of $-6,-10.3$ and $-11.6 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$.

## Introduction

The microscopic origin of pyroelectricity in polar crystals has long been a topic of considerable interest. A direct relationship between atomic displacement and polarization temperature dependence has been developed in recent years for several pyroelectric crystals, see reviews by Abrahams (1978, 1979, 1985). Typical values of the pyroelectric coefficient range from $300 \times$ $10^{-6} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{BaMnF}_{4}$ to $-3.9 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, with larger values being generally associated with ferroelectric crystals. These coefficients have been used to derive the corresponding ionic displacement temperature coefficients; that for $\mathrm{BaMnF}_{4}$ is $0.9 \times 10^{-3} \AA \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ and for $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4} .3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is $0.03 \times 10^{-3} \AA \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$, for example. Among the first pyroelectric crystals for which the ionic displacement temperature coefficient was measured experimentally in relation to the pyroelectric coefficient was $\mathrm{Ba}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Liminga, Abrahams, Glass \& Kvick, 1982); with a value for the compound of 0.44 (1) $\times$ $10^{-3} \AA \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$, a polarization change of $-3.0 \times$ $10^{-3} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ was calculated between 102 and 298 K compared with a measured value of $-2.6 \times$ $10^{-3} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2}$. Similarly, the polarization change in $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ between 80 and 298 K was calculated from the nuclear positions as $-11.8 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2}$ (Karppinen, Liminga, Kvick \& Abrahams, 1988), © 1989 International Union of Crystallography


[^1]:    *This crystal was bounded by tabular (10 $\overline{1}$ ), ( $\overline{1} 01$ ) faces 0.070 mm apart: edge faces, with normal distances to crystal center, were (313), $0.060,(313), 0.060 ;(212), 0.065 ;(010), 0.130$; ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}), 0.107 ;(1 \overline{3} 1), 0.107 \mathrm{~mm}$. The second crystal exhibited similar morphology.

[^2]:    * Lists of structure factors ( $F_{m}, F_{c}$ and $\sigma F_{m}$ ), anisotropic thermal parameters and anharmonic tensor coefficients for the first crystal at 295,418 and 453 K and for the second crystal at 295 K have been deposited, together with digital values for the powder-profile intensities at eight different temperatures between 20 and 420 K , with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 51284 ( 126 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

[^3]:    *See deposition footnote.

[^4]:    * $u$ is the r.m.s. radial amplitude of thermal displacement, with $u[\operatorname{Br}(2)]$ set equal to $u[\operatorname{Br}(3)]$.
    $\dagger$ These indicators were calculated with the background intensities excluded, all others have the background intensities included.

